

Questionnaire for Course/Seminar Evaluation - IMPRS and CGS

Course/Seminar title:

Sociology of Markets

Instructor(s)/ Teaching assistant(s):

Beckert/Francois

Semester:

Fall 09/10


1. Course

		Very muchnot at all		Ø Grade	n (without NA)	n (total)
		1 2 3 4 5 6 NA				
1.1.	The advance information about the course was accurate and informative	7 8 3 2 1 0 0		2,1	21	21
1.2.	In terms of breadth, depth and relevance the recommended readings were useful and sufficient	11 9 1 0 0 0 0		1,5	21	21
1.3.	The course reading was easily accessible	10 6 4 1 0 0 0		1,8	21	21
1.4.	The central themes of this course/seminar did match my expectations	6 10 4 0 0 0 1		1,9	20	21
1.5.	How demanding were the course requirements in relation to your given level of qualification?	5 5 6 3 2 0 0		2,6	21	21
1.6.	How well did the examination measures measure the knowledge of the course materials?	0 0 2 0 0 0 19		_____	2	21


2. Instructor

		Very muchnot at all							Ø Grade	n (without NA)	n (total)
		1	2	3	4	5	6	NA			
2.1.	The instructor was well organized and well prepared	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	1,1	21	21
2.2.	The instructor was clear in his/her presentations and explanations	14	5	2	0	0	0	0	1,4	21	21
2.3.	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject matter	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	1,2	21	21
2.4.	The instructor developed the overall themes of the course/seminar in a coherent manner	11	7	1	1	0	0	0	1,6	20	20
2.5.	The instructor presented examples to explain complicated issues	6	7	6	0	2	0	0	2,3	21	21
2.6.	The instructor had helpful and motivating teaching methods	6	10	4	0	1	0	0	2,0	21	21


2.7. The instructor made good use of media (whiteboard; overhead; beamer, etc.) 7 8 1 2 2 0 1 **2,2** 20 21



2.8. The instructor encouraged questions and class participation 13 8 0 0 0 0 0 **1,4** 21 21




2.9. The instructor directed class discussions in such way that they were stimulating and useful 8 6 5 2 0 0 0 **2,0** 21 21




2.10. The instructor was accessible outside seminar hours 1 4 3 0 0 0 13 _____ 8 21

2.11. The instructor provided competent feedback to the students' contributions 6 5 6 3 0 0 0 **2,3** 20 20



2.12. The instructor was fair and impartial in dealing with students 14 4 2 0 0 0 1 **1,4** 20 21



3. General

		Very muchnot at all							Ø Grade	n (without NA)	n (total)
		1	2	3	4	5	6	NA			
3.1.	The course was intellectually stimulating	15	6	0	0	0	0	0	1,3	21	21
3.2.	After taking this course/seminar my interest in the given subject has increased	11	8	1	1	0	0	0	1,6	21	21
3.3.	The course/seminar was relevant for my dissertation project	3	6	7	2	0	1	2	2,6	19	21
3.4.	The results of the course justify the workload invested	6	10	4	1	0	0	0	2,0	21	21
3.5.	In overall terms I am satisfied with the course	10	9	2	0	0	0	0	1,6	21	21
3.6.	I recommend this course for future students	13	5	3	0	0	0	0	1,5	21	21